App. F. IX-4

192 7.

File No. 387-27

Previous References

(Inwards)

Serial Nos. 1 - 2

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for July 1928.

SUBJECT

Requests sanction to the continuance of the lands in the Archak Rudrappas' possession on payment of Survey Assessment and Poojas in the temple.

G.O. No 1141-7
dated 17.7.28

To the Accy. Comr
" Comptr
" Rev. Comr
" Smptr. R.S.Dg.

Sanctioned

[signature]

G. R. S. APP F.III—1] 1927

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inuzrai

NOTES.

File No. 387/1927

FILE HEADING.

Confirmation of Inst lands S. nos. 13 and 34 of Kmapalli Village, Chintamani Taluk, in the name of Sri Madeswara Devaru on the banks of Papagni River in Yenagadle Village, Siddlaghatta Taluk.

FROM

The Inuz. Comr.

No. 1126 Dated 23. 6. 1928 Received

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare copies	Serial No.
1420.	12	—	1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Reports that the said lands are in the possession of Archak Rudrappa from a long time and requests sanction to the D.C's recommendation to continue them in the Archak's possession on payment of

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Survey assessment and on doing Puja in the temple, and to treat the lands as endowment to the temple, considering it as a Inuzrai inst.n.

The D.C's letter of 28th Jany 29

NOTES—First Sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat. Muz File No. 387 of 1927.

Serial No.

explains the facts of the case.
2-Submits for orders on the Dt.s recommendation -

3 The lands in question are
27-7-1928. not temple lands and
the temple is a Muttam Mutt Dt.
They should therefore be Sudras,
and fully assessed. The assessment
going to Govt. and not to temple.

2/7/28

4 It is possible that lands must have been purchased for the temple by somebody. In such cases, the Khata is made in the name of the temple & somebody is made responsible for the payment of the Kandayam and the land will be Govt. land. The assessment cannot go to the temple, but the Dt. Collector may fix the portion of the lands that go to the temple for the performance of the puja and the trustees will enjoy the same. It is not necessary to mention this as it is an old temple. Rev. Branch may also be consulted.

Dt. Collector

27/7

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

TD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Misc. File No. of 192

Serial No.	
	Dft. G.O. sanctioning, is submitted. 11.7.28. 7 Jam WD 14/7
2	Do. Accr, Comptr, Rev Accr, Supt, Rev Sur & Le'ss No 114-7 dy Sanctions &c. M.C. Accrs.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

M. C. 13]

FILED
26 JUN 1928
No.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore.....*192*

23

No. 1126 En file
26-7.

FROM

F. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., P.L.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

27

D. No 1720

Muz 387-27-

To

P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., P.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Miscellaneous Branch of the
Financial Department.

SIR,

In submitting herewith the entire file of records & correspondence dealing with the Kolar District Deputy Commissioner's correspondence ending with letter No.AD S.265/26-27 dated 8th January 1927 and that relating to the disposal of S.Nos.13 & 34 of Honnapalli Village in the Chintamani Taluk which are in the unauthorised occupation of one Munivenkatappa & others of Gri Malleswaradevaru of Yenugadevanahalli, Srinivasapura Taluk, I have the honor to enclose herein both the above cases and to offer the following remarks in the matter.

The 28th January 1927 [illegible] all facts of the case. It is only in the Tirupalpalli [illegible] that the records [illegible] but it is [illegible] the latest Records.

In view of the [illegible] Kolar Deputy Commissioner [illegible] Survey [illegible]

to the proposal of the Deputy Commissioner.

I have the honor to be,

.Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.A2-C.565-26-27 dated 26th January 1927 from the -- Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"I have the honor to forward herewith the correspondence --- ending with the Kolar Sub Division Officer's No.R.3-C.1120/26-27 -- dated 12-11-26 relating to the proposed transfer of S.Nos.13 and 34 of Konappalli village to the temple of Sri Rameswaradevaru at ---- Irgampalli, Chintamani Taluk for information and to state as follows:

2. The marginally noted lands of Konappalli village of Chintamani Taluk have been in the enjoyment of one Rudrappa, Archak of the Sri Malleswaradevaru - temple of Yenagadle village, Sidlaghatta Taluk for the last about 40 years as Inams. It will be seen from the records that the said lands have not been entered either in the quit rent register or village or other accounts as Inams endowed to any temple. The circumstances -- under which Rudrappa came by these lands are not set forth in the -- record. Rudrappa's statement given before the Amildar, Chintamani on 12-9-24 shows that he has long been enjoying them in the ~~behalf~~ belief that they are Inams endowed to the temple of Sri Malleswaradevaru. Beyond the fact that he has been allowed to enjoy them uninterruptedly for the last 40 years paying only cesses to Government he has no other - documentary evidence to prove his title thereto. The said temple ha no land endowments nor does it appear to be a Muzrai institution.

	A-G	Rs.a. p-
S.No.13 (dry)	0-38	1- 4- 0
34 (Garden)	0- 6	1- 0- 0

3. After sufficient investigation it was found that the lands are sirkar and not inams and that Rudrappa's enjoyment of those lands is unauthorised. The Amildar therefore recommends the recovery of full assessment minus the cesses paid already on the lands by Rudrappa -- from the date of survey and settlement and the confirmation of these land to him alone on full assessment in view of his long enjoyment, etc., I am of opinion that the lands should remain as fully assessed but endowed to the temple by treating it as a Muzrai institution and that Rudrapja may be allowed to enjoy the lands on payment of full -- assessment in consideration of his doing puja. I request that you will kindly obtain sanction of Government to the proposal.

"C o p y"

H. Thimmaiya

For Muzrai Commissioner

Muz. 7]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....nil

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

the 192 .

Date of issue 17/7/28

Signed by.....

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette. English Part ?

v translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

SECTION Muz

FILE No. 387-27

SERIAL No. 4

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read—

Letter no. 1126 dy 23d June 1928 from the Insp Comr in mysore submitting a copy of Kolar D C's letter dy 28th January 1927, and reporting that S. Nos. 13 and 34 of Konappalli Village, Chintamani Taluk have been in the unauthorized enjoyment of Rudrappa, Son of Mallesara of Uenara

Order Thereon No.

The

that the lands are shown as
inam of Iswara temple in the
Taisal Patrika and Stala Jadi Patti
but that there is no entry either
in the Quit rent or Khalsa
Registers, and requesting sanction
to the D C's recommendation
that in view of the fact that
the lands are in the possession
of Archak Rudrappa for a
long time, they may be
allowed to remain in the
Archak's possession on
payment of Survey assessment
and on doing Puja in the
temple, but treated as an
endowment to the said
temple, considering it as a
Muzrai inam —

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Order Mercan.

The D C's recommendation
is sanctioned.

WD 0566—2000—GPB—29-7-22

for Sec. Secty.

for Secretary